UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,484	06/15/2006	Barry John Bryar	1784		
60333 EDWIN D. SCI	7590 11/16/200 HINDLER	9	EXAMINER		
FIVE HIRSCH	AVENUE	HSIAO, JAMES K			
P.O. BOX 966 CORAM, NY 1	1727-0966		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3657		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,484	4	BRYAR, BARRY JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JAMES K.	HSIAO	3657	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF TH ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever munication. statutory period will apply and will ply will, by statute, cause the applies after the mailing date of this com	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) for the communication (s) for the communication (s)	2b)⊡ This action is no n for allowance except f	or formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the short claim(s) is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from con			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/al Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) include 11) The oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or b) [jection to the drawing(s) be ng the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office ac	ty documents have beer ty documents have beer s of the priority docume tional Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/583,484 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Regarding claims 10, 11, 12, 18 and 19, the claim limitation "means for" uses the phrase "means for" or "step for", but it is modified by some structure, material, or acts recited in the claim. It is unclear whether the recited structure, material, or acts are sufficient for performing the claimed function which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because it is not clear if the recited means for language imparts additional structure.

If applicant wishes to have the claim limitation treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant is required to amend the claim so that the phrase "means for" or "step for" is clearly **not** modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function.

If applicant does **not** wish to have the claim limitation treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant is required to amend the claim so that it will clearly not be a means (or step) plus function limitation (e.g., deleting the phrase "means for" or "step for").

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 3657

The amendment to claim 10 has rendered the claim and all intervening claims indefinite because, as noted in the arguments, applicant is allowed to use the open word "comprising" in the preamble and still allowed to "close" the second step of a two step method claim by the phrase "consisting of". However, this limitation does not include a positive first or second step. Furthermore, this claim is not a method claim. therefore the amendment is given no patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 10-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parr et al. (US-5747886).

Regarding claim 10, Parr et al. discloses a valve (9b, 21, 22) connected to a trailer compressed air supply line (fig 3), said valve including: means for permitting passage of compressed air through said trailer compressed air supply line to a braking system when said valve is in a closed state (abstract); and, means for exhausting air present in said compressed air supply line from said valve through an exhaust line when said valve is in an open state (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,484 Page 4

Art Unit: 3657

Regarding claim 11, Parr et al. discloses wherein the valve includes means for exhausting air from any trailer air storage tanks when said valve is open (col. 3 lines 34-46).

Regarding claim 12, Parr et al. discloses wherein the valve has a means for exhausting air to a horn (23) when in an open state (col. 3, lines 34-46).

Regarding claim 13, Parr et al. discloses a ball valve (col. 6, line 18).

Regarding claim 18, Parr et al. discloses wherein the valve can be remotely operated (col. 4, lines 1-3). Valve is remotely operated from truck cab.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parr et al. (US-5747886) in view of Kee et al. (US-6367888)

Regarding claims 14-19, Parr discloses as set forth above but lacks a housing. Kee et al. teaches a housing (25) connected to a brake system via a lockable door (col. 5, lines 45-48), a lever (75), a keypad for operating the lockable door (col. 2, lines 32-35), a GPS signal for identifying the location of the trailer and remotely operating the system (fig 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the air brake/alarm system of Parr et al. with the air

Art Unit: 3657

brake/alarm system of Kee et al. because the features of Kee et al. provide further security measures and prevent theft.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 7/31/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the above modified rejection. The amendment to claim 10 has rendered the claim and all intervening claims indefinite because, as noted in the arguments, applicant is allowed to use the open word "comprising" in the preamble and still allowed to "close" the second step of a two step method claim by the phrase "consisting of". However, this limitation does not include a positive first or second step. Furthermore, this claim is not a method claim. Therefore the amendment is given no patentable weight.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES K. HSIAO whose telephone number is (571)272-6259. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bradley T King/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657

JKH